| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | 10/074,014 | MATSUSHITA, TETSUNORI |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Venkataraman Balasubramanian | 1624 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: Allowance | |
| (1) <u>Venkataraman Balasubramanian</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Bruce Kramer</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 2 August 2004 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | Applicant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: 9, 11, 13, 14, 15 Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Non-elected subject matter in claims 9, 11, 13, 14, 15 was discussed. Counselor authorized to cancel claims 9, 11, 13,14 and 15 by examiner's amendment. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (App | olicant/Applicant's Representativ | /e Signature – if appropriate) |